

HIGH COURT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR

*** *****

Subject: Technical evaluation of bids and demo by the bidders.

Date: 10th & 11th of July 2017

In response to tender of scanning and digitization (HC-JK/ET/2/2017), eight bids from different vendors were received on-line on E-procurement portal of the State <http://jktenders.gov.in>. The eligibility of bidders was examined on 29th of June 2017 as per the eligibility conditions as contained in Annexure-II of the tender document and after examining the documents submitted by the vendors with their respective bids, M/S Writer Information was found not eligible as it had failed to submit physical copies of EMD as well as cost of the tender document. Hence, all the bidders barring M/S Writer Information were declared to have passed the pre-qualification stage. All the seven bidders who were found eligible were called for live demonstration of the scanning, digitization, retrieval and workflow process as required under the tender document. All the seven bidders attended the live demonstration sessions as per the following details:

S.No	Name of the bidder	Date of demonstration	Venue of demonstration	Whether attended or not
1	Comtech Info Solutions	10.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing.	Yes
2	Crystal Infosystems	10.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing	Yes
3	Datasoft Computer Services (P) Ltd.	10.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing	Yes

4	NewGen	10.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing	Yes
5	Ninestars	11.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing	Yes
6	Softage Information Technology Ltd.	11.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing	Yes
7	Stock Holding Corp. Of India	11.07.2017	J&K High Court, Jammu Wing	Yes

Live demonstration was attended by the following officers from the High Court side:

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Designation
1.	Mr. Giresh Sharma	OSD (IT), Delhi High Court.
2.	Mr. Malik Shabir Ahmad	Central Project Coordinator, JK High Court.
3.	Mr. Kiran Koul	NIC Coordinator, High Court Wing Jammu.


The committee assessed the vendors on the following parameters:


1. Their understanding of the work requirement in J&K High Court;
2. Their past experience;
3. The software and hardware support system;
4. The quality of scanning;
5. Their capability to deliver on scanning requirement at a minimum of 50,000 pages each day within the time constraints;
6. Their infrastructural capabilities.


After seeing the demo given by the seven vendors, the committee recommends the following vendors:

1. Crystal Infosystems.
2. Data Soft India.
3. Newgen Soft.
4. Ninestars.
5. Stock Holding Corporation of India.

Each of the aforesaid 05 vendors have agreed to provide high speed scanners and trained manpower to maintain infrastructure capability of delivering requisite number of pages as per the tender. A separate table indicating different parameters used during evaluation process and their compliance by the vendors is enclosed with the report.


Mr. Girish Sharma, OSD (IT) Delhi High Court.


Mr. Malik Shabir Ahmad, CPC, e-Courts High Court of J&K.


Mr. Kiran Koul, Incharge, NIC High Court Wing Jammu.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF VENDORS & DEMONSTRATION

S. N o.	Name of the Vendor	Scanning Capability	Scanning quality	Flow chart	Remarks
1	Comtech Info Solutions Pvt Ltd.	Satisfacto ry	Good	Work Flow upto scanning shown. Post scanning, workflow not shown.	Not Recommended. Though the company is Srinagar based but is not recommended, because it has not shown any knowledge/ experience with respect to DSpace and has no experience of scanning of court records. Proposed output shown by the company at Srinagar is also not satisfactory.
2	Crystal Info systems & Services Solutions Pvt Ltd.	Good	Good	Good	Recommended. Demonstration of watermark and digital signature shown. OCR done also. Application Software cost included in the price bid. Experience of working with Karnataka High Court.

S. N o.	Name of the Vendor	Scanning Capability	Scanning quality	Flow chart	Remarks
3	Data Soft Computer Services Ltd.	Good	Good	Good	Recommended. Having experience of working in Delhi High Court. Demonstrated in detail the process of use and customization of Dspace with partner D Square stated to be a registered service provider of DSpace.
4	Newgen	Good	Good	Good	Recommended. Working experience with Punjab & Haryana High Court. Automatic/semi automatic correction Software for QC. Demonstrated in details the process of use and customization of DSpace with partner DMRS stated to be a registered service provider of DSpace.

S. N o.	Name of the Vendor	Scanning Capability	Scanning quality	Flow chart	Remarks
5	Ninestars	Good	Good	Good	<p>Recommended. Worked with HCL (3rd party) in Utrakhand High Court and Patna High Court on digitization.</p> <p>Demonstration of watermark and digital signature also shown.</p> <p>Also stated to have done scanning work in National Archives.</p>
6	Softage India	Satisfactory	Not Satisfactory	Work flow not shown properly.	<p>Not recommended.</p> <p>Have not shown any experience in scanning of bulky records and has no experience of working with High Courts and civil courts. Daily output of 4500 pages at Srinagar and 29000 pages at Jammu as proposed by the company is far less than required by the High Court.</p>

S. N o.	Name of the Vendor	Scanning Capability	Scanning quality	Flow chart	Remarks
7	SHCIL	Good	Good	Excellent	<p>Recommended.</p> <p>Working experience with Allahabad High Court, Guahati High Court, Chattisgarh High Court and Hyderabad court.</p> <p>Demonstrated in details the process of use and customization of DSpace with partner DMRS stated to be a registered service provider of DSpace.</p> <p>Inbuilt OCR/ICR demonstrated.</p> <p>Has office in Jammu also.</p> <p>Ready to offer its own DMS as well as customization of Dspace.</p> <p>Has a robust DTS (Document tracking system).</p>